

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/784,507		02/23/2004	Ken Rosenblum	1326.001US5 1482	
21186	7590	01/06/2006		EXAMINER	
SCHWEGI	MAN, LU	INDBERG, WOES	MAI, THIEN T		
1600 TCF T		TDEET		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
121 SOUTH EIGHT STREET MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402				2876	

DATE MAILED: 01/06/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	•		$\mathscr{A}$				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/784,507	ROSENBLUM, KE	EN				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Thien T. Mai	2876					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	idress				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this c D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>14 N</u>	ovember 2005.						
•—	action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar							
Disposition of Claims							
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-16 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	vn from consideration.						
Application Papers							
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 23 February 2004 is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	e: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objecte drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Sec ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document: 2. Certified copies of the priority document: 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document: application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National	Stage				
Attachment(s)							
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)         Paper No(s)/Mail Date     </li> </ol>	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other::	ate	O-152)				

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Acknowledgement

- Acknowledgement is hereby made of the terminal disclaimers received on 11/14/2005. Claims 1-16 remain under prosecution presented herein.
- 2. Acknowledgement is hereby made of the Amendment received on 11/14/2005, in which the Abstract was amended to overcome Examiner's objection in previous Office Action. Therefore, previous objection is withdrawn.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 4. Claim(s) 1-3 and 6-10 and 12-16 is/are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Schoonen (US 6,230,927)

Regarding claim(s) 1, Schoonen discloses a method comprising:

giving the unique one-time drug identification code as the authorization code for multiple drugs in a prescription by a doctor stored on customer's chip card or transmitted to the server computer at a pharmacy location where the automatic dispenser is located, wherein said authorization code can be used to obtain one time for a single prescription for drugs that are prepackaged in cartridge for the customer/patient to pickup when the patient/customer enters said authorization code into the dispenser without intervention of a pharmacist; wherein the doctor can be interpreted as being a healthcare provider

Application/Control Number: 10/784,507

Art Unit: 2876

at a health care facilities such as hospital or a physician's office (see abstract, col. 1 lines 20-65, col. 7 lines 1-5).

Regarding claim(s) 2, Schoonen discloses the patient receives the prescribed therapeutic agent from the dispensing apparatus without the intervention of a pharmacist. See abstract, col. 1 lines 20-65, col. 7 lines 1-5.

Regarding claim(s) 3, Schoonen discloses unique authorization code is given to the patient by a health care provider at a health care facility. See abstract, col. 1 lines 20-65, col. 7 lines 1-5.

Regarding claim(s) 6, Schoonen discloses the unique authorization code is unique to the prescription and only associated with a single prescription. See abstract, col. 1 lines 20-65, col. 7 lines 1-5.

Regarding claim(s) 7, Schoonen discloses a method comprising:

providing a dispenser containing an inventory of therapeutic products; transmitting a proposed prescription for a patient to a server; authorizing dispensing of at least a portion of the proposed prescription out of the inventory in the dispenser if the prescription includes at least one therapeutic product available in the inventory of the dispenser; providing to the patient an authorization code unique to the authorized prescription, the authorization code not capable of being reused for other prescriptions; the patient inputting the authorization code into the dispenser; and the dispenser delivering the available therapeutic product to the patient in response to the patient inputting the authorization code. See abstract, col. 1 lines 20-65, col. 7 lines 1-5.

Regarding claim(s) 8, Schoonen discloses the authorization step is done without the intervention of a pharmacist. See abstract, col. 1 lines 20-65, col. 7 lines 1-5.

Regarding claim(s) 9, Schoonen discloses the patient receives the therapeutic agent from the dispenser without the intervention of a pharmacist. See abstract, col. 1 lines 20-65, col. 7 lines 1-5.

Application/Control Number: 10/784,507

Art Unit: 2876

Regarding claim(s) 10, Schoonen discloses the authorization code is given to the patient by a health care provider at a health care facility.

Regarding claim(s) 12, Schoonen discloses a method comprising

giving the unique one-time drug identification code as the authorization code for multiple drugs in a prescription by a doctor stored on customer's chip card or transmitted to the server computer at a pharmacy location where the automatic dispenser is located, wherein said authorization code can be used to obtain one time for a single prescription for drugs that are prepackaged in cartridge for the customer/patient to pickup when the patient/customer enters said authorization code into the dispenser without intervention of a pharmacist; wherein the doctor can be interpreted as being a healthcare provider at a health care facilities such as hospital or a physician's office (see abstract, col. 1 lines 20-65, col. 7 lines 1-5)

wherein the dispenser is authorized to dispense the whole prescription out of the dispenser's inventory if the prescription drug is available for dispense, otherwise the patient will receive nothing; and wherein the authorizing is done by the doctor who saves the prescription in the patient's card, therefore intervention by pharmacist is unnecessary (see abstract, col. 1 lines 20-65, col. 7 lines 1-5).

Regarding claim(s) 13, Schoonen discloses the data includes a one-time-use authorization code associated with only the adjudicated prescription. See abstract, col. 1 lines 20-65, col. 7 lines 1-5.

Regarding claim(s) 14, Schoonen discloses the data includes a unique authorization code that is not capable of being reused. See abstract, col. 1 lines 20-65, col. 7 lines 1-5.

Regarding claim(s) 15, Schoonen discloses the therapeutic product is delivered to the patient without the intervention of a pharmacist. See abstract, col. 1 lines 20-65, col. 7 lines 1-5.

Regarding claim(s) 16, Schoonen discloses adjudicating the proposed prescription into an adjudicated prescription is done without the intervention of a pharmacist. See abstract, col. 1 lines 20-65, col. 7 lines 1-5.

Application/Control Number: 10/784,507

Art Unit: 2876

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claim(s) 4-5 and 11 is/are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schoomen (US 6,230,927) in view of Lion (US 4,732,411). The teachings of Schoonen have been discussed above.

Regarding claim(s) 4, Schoonen discloses the authorization code is given to the patient by a doctor (see abstract, col. 1 lines 20-37, col. 7 lines 1-5).

Schoonen does not disclose or fairly suggest the authorization is given to the patient by a pharmacist.

Lion discloses an automatic prescription dispenser for dispensing prescription drug without intervention of a local operator, wherein the dispenser receives a pre-assigned identification as the authorization code through a mail order pharmacy, which inherently implies the pharmacy having at least a technician/pharmacist performing the function of assigning the authorization code to the patient; wherein the dispenser also receives payment in the form of debit or credit card (col. 3 lines 27-40, col. 6 lines 1-10, col. 6 lines 35-50).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate Lion's teachings to Schoomen by assigning a unique and single use prescription identification by a pharmacy technician or pharmacist.

One of ordinary skill in the art should be motivated to employ the pharmacy-assigned prescription identification as taught by Lion so that traffic at the pharmacy can be alleviated and customer

Application/Control Number: 10/784,507 Page 6

Art Unit: 2876

wait time for the prescription fill status can be minimized by entering the authorization code in the dispenser for the prescription rather than continuously requesting the status from the pharmacy staff.

Regarding claim(s) 5, Lion discloses the dispenser also receives payment in the form of debit or credit card (col. 3 lines 27-40, col. 6 lines 1-10, col. 6 lines 35-50).

Regarding claim(s) 11, Lion discloses the authorization code is given to the patient by a pharmacist or pharmacy technician at a pharmacy (col. 3 lines 27-40, col. 6 lines 1-10, col. 6 lines 35-50).

Art Unit: 2876

### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thien T. Mai whose telephone number is 571-272-8283. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 8:00 - 5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Lee can be reached on 571-272-2398. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Thien T Mai Examiner Art Unit 2876

TM

MICHAEL G. LEE

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER